# Enhancing Byzantine Fault Tolerance in Blockchain Networks through Dynamic Clustering

Teppei Okada\* Noriaki Kamiyama\* Akihiro Fujihara<sup>†</sup>

\*Ritsumeikan University <sup>+</sup>Chiba Institute of Technology

## Background (1/2)

#### Blockchain

Securely share and manage transactions across multiple computers on a ledger, resistant to tampering

#### Public type

- No administrator
- 🗖 ex. Bitcoin, Ethereum
- Consortium, Private type
  - With administrator
  - ex. Hyperledger

#### Consensus Formation

- Verification by all participants when new blocks or transactions are created
- Detection and removal of potentially tampered transactions
- PBFT (Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance) is primarily used in consortium blockchains

## Background (2/2)

#### PBFT

Algorithm resistant to faulty or attacked nodes (Byzantine nodes)

Achieves correct consensus if Byzantine nodes are less than one-third of total

Drawback

Achieving correct consensus becomes difficult if Byzantine nodes exceed one-third



### **Research Objective**

#### PBFT

Agreement depends on number of Byzantine nodes.



We propose a method to form clusters with resistance to Byzantine nodes by estimating the attacker's location through clustering

#### Approach

- Divides topology using k-means, forms consensus within and between clusters using PBFT
  - Merge clusters sending minority opinions

## PBFT (1/2)

- Composed of 3 types of entities
  - Client
    - Generates transactions and sends them to the network
  - Replica Node
    - Participates in consensus
  - Primary Node
    - Specific replica node
    - Receives transactions from clients and forwards them to other replica nodes



## **PBFT** (2/2)

- Pre-prepare phase
  - Primary node forwards the transaction to other replica nodes
  - Each node verifies the transaction's validity and broadcasts the result to other nodes
- Prepare phase
  - Replica nodes confirm that the verification result matches the primary node's verification result, and broadcasts to other nodes
- Commit phase
  - If agreeing with the message received in the prepare phase, a commit message is sent to other nodes
- Receiving commit messages from two-thirds of all nodes
  - Send a reply message to the client
    Confirming that consensus has been successfully reached



## **Challenges of PBFT**

- Consensus formation becomes difficult in high-attacker environments
- By utilizing clustering, clusters with a high number of attackers can be identified and merged, reducing the overall proportion of attacker-dominated clusters.
  - Attackers are concentrated into specific clusters
  - As a result, the consensus rate increases

### **Proposed Method**

- 1. Execute PBFT across the entire network
- 2. If consensus cannot be formed, apply k-means clustering to the network
- 3. Run PBFT within each cluster
- 4. Run PBFT between clusters
- 5. If consensus is not reached, determine that the cluster sending the minority opinion has many attackers
  - Merge minority clusters
- 6. If consensus formation is successful, share the result with the entire network and terminate

## Proposed Method (e.g.)

Above figure (Before applying proposed method)

Out of 20 nodes, 7 nodes are Byzantine nodes

Number of Byzantine nodes exceeding one-third of the total, consensus cannot be formed even with conventional PBFT

Below figure (After applying proposed method)

- Clustering results in 5 clusters, and 4 clusters have fewer than one-third of Byzantine nodes
- When PBFT is executed between clusters, consensus is successfully reached



## **Merging Clusters**

#### Above figure

3 clusters with fewer than one-third Byzantine nodes

- Consensus cannot be formed
- Merge the minority clusters \_\_\_\_\_

#### Below figure

- Out of 4 clusters, 3 have fewer than one-third Byzantine nodes
- →Consensus can be successfully formed



### **Performance Evaluation**

Evaluated through computer simulation

Consensus rate

Count the number of times consensus is reached out of 100 trials

Traffic volume

11

### **Evaluation Conditions**

Number of nodes: 90

number of attackers varies from 0 to 90

- Network Topology
  - Barabasi-Albert (BA)
  - Erdos-Renyi (ER)
  - Watts-Strogatz (WS)

Compare the proposed method with Existing PBFT and PBFT with k-means (k = 7, 10, 15) applied

# **Consensus Probability**

### **Consensus Probability**



# **Amount of Traffic**

## **Amount of Traffic**



### Conclusion

- We proposed a method to enhance resistance to Byzantine attacks by utilizing clustering and merging
- In the proposed method, the consensus rate and communication traffic volume were compared, and simulation evaluations confirmed the following:
  - Correct consensus formation even when attackers constitute more than one-third of the total
  - Traffic volume increased significantly compared to the conventional method due to an increase in communication frequency and data size
- Future works
  - Devising methods to reduce traffic volume while maintaining the consensus rate